

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 5818 Yanagihara Case 52 Motohide Takeichi 09/659,448 09/11/2000 7590 12/03/2001 Flynn Thiel Boutell & Tanis PC **EXAMINER** 2026 Rambling Road GRAYBILL, DAVID E Kalamazoo, MI 49008-1699 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.		Applicant(s)	_	
		09/659,4			bob M		
Of	fice Action Summary	Examine	Г		Art Unit		
		David E C			2814		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
	oonsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>21 February 2</u>	<u>2001</u> .				
,—	action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is		al.			
3)⊠ Sinc	the formal matters propagation as to the marts is						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
, _							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
•	·		een recei	ved.			
 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of Re	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	(PTO-948)) Paper No(s) <u>4</u> .	4) 5) 6)		ry (PTO-413) Paper N Patent Application (P		

Art Unit: 2814

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1 and 4, the scope of the term "tensile elongation percentage" is unclear because there is no art recognized definition of the term, and it is not otherwise explicitly defined in the disclosure.

In claims 2 and 5, the scope of the term "microparticulate elastomer" is unclear because there is no art recognized definition of the term, and it is not otherwise explicitly defined in the disclosure.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/659,448

Art Unit: 2814

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tomita (WO98/44067).

To further clarify the teaching of the particular tensile elongation percentage, it is noted that this limitation is an inherent property of the instant claimed material.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/659,448 Page 4

Art Unit: 2814

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tomita as applied to claims 1-6, and further in combination with Yamada (JP407197001).

Tomita does not appear to explicitly teach wherein the COG assembly is a liquid crystal display. Nonetheless, in the English abstract and figures, Yamada teaches this product.

Moreover, it would have been obvious to combine the product of Yamada with the product of Tomita because it would provide a COG assembly.

Any telephone inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status (MPEP 203.08) of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

Any telephone inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David E. Graybill at (703) 308-2947. Regular office hours: Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

The fax phone number for group 2800 is 703/305-3431.

David E. Graybill Primary Examiner Art Unit 2814

Je C. MM

D.G. 17-Nov-01